FIELDS

SEC 5.1 EXTENSION FIELDS

DEFINITION:

Let F be a field ; a field K is said to be an *extension* of F if K contained $F(K \supset F)$.



NOTE:- (1). Kis an extension field of F if Fis a subfield of K.

(2).If K is an extension field of F ,it can be treated as vector space over F and dimension of V.Sp. taken as degree of the field.

Definition: The <u>degree of K</u> over F is the dimension of K as a vector space over F, denoted by [K:F].

Definition: If K is a finite dimension vector space over F, then K is a **finite extension** of F.

THEOREM 5.1.1:-

If L is a f.extn. of K and if K is a f.extn. of F, then L is a f.extn. of F.

Moreover, [L:F] = [L:K] [K:F]

PROOF:-

Suppose [L:K] = m and [K:F] =n. -----(1)

Let v_1 , v_2 , ..., v_m be a basis of L over K and

let w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n be a basis of K over F. (2)

Consider any <u>element</u> $t \in L$, then t can be written as linear combination of basis vectors $v_1, v_2, ..., v_m$.

i.e., $t = k_1 v_1 + \dots + k_m v_m$, where $k_i \in K$ -----(3)

Since K is a vector space over F and k_i 's \in K ,each k_i can be written as l.c. of W_1 , W_2 , ..., W_n .

i.e.,
$$k_1 = \alpha_{11}w_1 + \dots + \alpha_{1n}w_n$$
.
 $k_2 = \alpha_{21}w_1 + \dots + \alpha_{2n}w_n$.
.
.
 $k_m = \alpha_{m1}w_1 + \dots + \alpha_{mn}w_n$, where $\alpha_{ij} \in F$
(4)

Substituting these values of k_i 's in (3),

$$t = (\alpha_{11}w_1 + \dots + \alpha_{1n}w_n) v_1 + \dots + (\alpha_{m1}w_1 + \dots + \alpha_{mn}w_n) v_m.$$

i.e.,
$$t = \alpha_{11}v_1w_1 + \dots + \alpha_{1n}v_1w_n + \dots + \alpha_{m1}v_mw_1 + \dots + \alpha_{mn}v_mw_n.$$

Thus t is a l.c of elements v_iw_j over F.So v_iw_j Span L over F.----(A)

Now we can prove $v_i w_i$ are linearly independent over F.

Suppose
$$f_{11}v_1w_1 + \dots + f_{1n}v_1w_n + f_{21}v_2w_1 + \dots + f_{2n}v_2w_n$$

+...+ $f_{m1}v_mw_1 + \dots + f_{mn}v_mw_n = 0$ -----(5)

Claim: Each $f_{ij} = 0$, so that the mn elements $\underline{v_i w_j}$ are linearly independent.

For, regrouping the terms in (5), $k_1v_1 + \cdots + k_mv_m = 0$, .----(6)

Where $k_i = f_{i1}w_1 + \dots + f_{in}w_n$, $i = 1, \dots, m$

 \Rightarrow Each $k_i = 0.(since v_1, v_2, ..., v_m be a basis of L over K)$

 $\implies \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{i}} = f_{i1}w_1 + \dots + f_{in}w_n = 0, \text{ for } \mathbf{i} = 1, \dots, \mathbf{m}.$

=><u>each $\mathbf{f}_{ij} = \mathbf{0}$ </u>(since w_1 , w_2 , ..., w_n be a basis of K over F).

So $v_i w_i$ are linearly independent over F.---(B)

Thus $v_i w_i$ (mn) elements form a basis of L over F.(From (A)& (B))

Thus [L:F] =mn. Hence L is a f.extn. of F.//

Moreover[L:F] = mn

```
i.e.,[L:F] = [L:K][K:F](by eqn(1)//
```

Hence the theorem.

COR :1

If L is a f.extn of F and if K is a subfield of L that contains F,

then [K:F] [L:F]

PROOF: Suppose L,K,F are fields s.t $L \supset K \supset F$ and [L:F] is finite.

Any element in L is linearly independent over K,are all linearly independent over F.Thus [L:F] is finite =>[L:K] is finite. Since K is a subspace of L,[K:F] is also finite.

By thm/. [L:F] = mn, where [K:F] = n. Thus n|nm. i.e., $[K:F] \mid [L:F]$.

Note: If [L:F] is a prime number, then there can be no fields properly between L and F.

<u>DEFINITION</u>: An element $\underline{a \in K}$ is said to be <u>*algebraic*</u> over F if there exist elements α_0 , α_1 , ..., α_n in F, not all 0, such that $\alpha_0 a^n + \alpha_1 a^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_n = 0$.

<u>Note</u>: 1.Consider the ring of Polynomials in x over F denoted by F[x].If $q(x) \in F[x]$ and $q(x) = \beta_0 x^n + \beta_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + \beta_n$, then any element $b \in K$, q(b) can be written as

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{b}) = \beta_0 b^n + \beta_1 b^{n-1} + \dots + \beta_n \in \mathbf{K}.$$

i.e., q(b) is equal to q(x) at x=b.

2. In these terms $\underline{a \in K}$ is said to be <u>*algebraic*</u> over F if there is a nonzero polynomial $p(x) \in F[x]$, so that a satisfies p(x).

i.e., p(a) = 0.

Definition: <u>Adjoining an element $a \in K$ to F.</u>

Let K be an extension of F and let $a \in K$.Consider the collection $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ of all subfield of K which contain both F and a. Since K itself is a subfield of K, an element of $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$

and so is nonempty. The intersection of all these subfields of K which are members of $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a subfield of K. That subfield is denoted by F(a).

It has the following properties:-

(i). It contains both F and a

(ii).F(a) is in $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$

Thus F(a) is the smallest subfield of K containing both F and a. So F(a) called as subfield obtained by **adjoining a to F**.

<u>THEOREM 5.1.2</u> An element $a \in K$ is algebraic over F if and only if F(a) is a

finite extension of F.

PROOF: Sufficient part:

Suppose that $\underline{F(a) \text{ is a f.extn. of } F}$ and that [F(a):F] = m.----(1)

Consider the elements $1,a,a^2,...,a^m$; they are all in F(a)and are m+1 in number, greater than the degree ,they are linearly dependent over F.

Therefore , there are elements α_0 , α_1 , ..., α_n in F , not all 0, such that $\alpha_0 1 + \alpha_1 a + \cdots + \alpha_m a^m = 0$. -----(2)

Hence *<u>a is algebraic over F</u>* and satisfies the nonzero polynomial

 $p(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_m x^m$ in F[x].

Thus second part proved.//

Conversely, Suppose $\underline{a \in K}$ is algebraic over F. By the definition ,there exist a nonzero polynomial p(x) in F[x] such that p(a)=0. Suppose that deg p(x) is the smallest one.

Claim: p(x) is irreducible over F

For, suppose that p(x) = f(x).g(x), where f(x),g(x) in F[x].-----(1)

If $p(a) = 0 \implies f(a)g(a) = 0$ $\implies f(a) = 0 \text{ or } g(a) = 0$

Also deg $f(x) \ge deg p(x)$ (or)

deg g(x) \ge deg p(x) -----(2)(: deg p(x) is smallest)

But from eqn/. (1), deg $p(x) = deg \{ f(x), g(x) \}$

 $= \deg f(x) + \deg g(x) - \dots - (3)$

From (2) &(3) we conclude either deg f(x) = 0 (or) deg g(x) = 0

 \Rightarrow Either f(x) or g(x) is a constant polynomial.

 $\therefore p(x)$ is irreducible over F.

Consider the mapping Ψ : F[x] \rightarrow F(a) defined as $\Psi(h(x)) = h(a)$ is a ring homomorphism.

Let V denote the kernel of Ψ .By defn/. V = {h(x) $\in F(x)$ | h(a) = 0}.

Also $p(x) \in V$ (since p(a) = 0). Since p(x) is of smallest degree ,h(x) in V is a multiple of p(x).

By division algorithm we can find q(x), $r(x) \in F[x]$, so that h(x) = q(x).p(x) + r(x), where either r(x) = 0 or deg $r(x) \le \deg p(x)$.

Claim : r(x) = 0.

For ,otherwise r(x) = h(x) - q(x).p(x).

 $r(a) = h(a) - q(a) \cdot p(a) = 0$ {: h(a) = 0, p(a) = 0

 \Rightarrow r(x) \in V and deg r(x) \leq deg p(x) is a contradiction.

Thus r(x) = 0.

Therefore h(x) is a multiple of p(x).

Since F[x] is an Euclidean ring and V consists of all element which are multiple of p(x), V is an ideal of F[x], it is also a maximal ideal. (:: p(x) is irreducible over F).

By general homomorphism on rings, $\frac{F[x]}{V}$ is isomorphic to the image of F[x] under Ψ .

The image of F[x] under Ψ is a subfield of F(a) which contains both F and a. But by the defn/. F(a) is the smallest subfield of K that contains both F and a.

: The image of F[x] under Ψ is all of F(a).

Hence $\frac{F[x]}{V}$ is isomorphic to F(a) itself. Then dim F(a) is same as dim $\frac{F[x]}{V}$.

Since V is generated by p(x), dim $\frac{F[x]}{V}$ is same as deg p(x),say n.

 $\therefore [F(a]:F] = \deg p(x) = n.$

i.e., F(a) is a finite extension of F.//.----THM/. Proved.

DEFINITION: Algebraic of degree n.

The element $\underline{a \in K}$ is said to be <u>algebraic of degree n</u> over F if it satisfies a nonzero polynomial over F of degree n but no nonzero polynomial of lower degree.

THEOREM:5.1.3

If $\underline{a \in K}$ is said to be <u>algebraic of degree n</u> over F, then [F(a):F] = n.

Proof: Converse part of the theorem 5.1.2.

THEOREM :5.1.4

If a, b \in K are algebraic over F, then a \pm b, ab and a / b (if b \neq 0)are all algebraic over F. In other words, the elements in K which are algebraic over F, form a subfield of K.

PROOF:

Suppose that a is algebraic of degree m over F and b is algebraic of degree n over F, then by theorem [F(a):F] = m & [F(b):F] = n -----(1)

Now , let T = F(a) the subfield of K is of degree m over F.----(2)

Now b is algebraic of degree n over F. \Rightarrow that it is algebraic of degree atmost n over over T which contains F.Thus the subfield W = T(b) of K is of degree atmost n over T. i.e., [F(a):F] =m &[T(b):T] \le n

Now [W:F] = [W:T] [T:F]

≤ nm.

Thus W is a finite extension of F.

Since a , b ε W, a \pm b, ab and a / b ε W. Then by thm/. a \pm b, ab and a / b are all algebraic over F.

Hence the thm//..

Note: Since $[W:F] \le nm$, every element in W satisfies a polynomial of degree at most mn.

<u>Cor</u>: If a, b ϵ K are algebraic over F of degree m & n respectively, then a \pm b, ab and a / b are all algebraic over F of degree at most mn.

Notations:

In the thm/. ,named two extensions F(a) as T and T(b) as W. Thus W = (F(a))(b)

= F(a,b)

Similarly (F(b))(a) = F(b,a). Also F(a,b) = F(b,a) for all $a,b \in K$.

Continuing this pattern, we can define $F(a_1,a_2,...,a_n)$ for all elements $a_1,a_2,...,a_n \in K$ are algebraic over F.

DEFINITION:-

The extension K of F is called *algebraic extension* of F if every element in K is algebraic over F.

THEOREM:5.1.5

If Lis an algebraic extension of K and if K is an algebraic extension of F then L is an algebraic extension of F.

Proof: Consider an arbitrary element u in L.

Since L is an algebraic extension of K, there exist a nonzero polynomial of the form

 $x^n + \sigma_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + \sigma_n x^0$, where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ are in K.

Since *K* is algebraic extension of *F*, each σ_i is algebraic over *F*, We have finite extensions $F(\sigma_1)$,..., $F(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n)$ by thm/(5.1.3).

Let $M = F(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n)$ is a finite extension of F, by defn/. $\sigma_i \in M$.

Since u satisfies the polynomial $x^n + \sigma_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + \sigma_n$ whose coefficients are in M ,u is algebraic over M.

Then M(u) is a finite extension of M. (by thm./.5.1.2)

Therefore by Thm/(5.1.1). [M(u):F] = [M(u):M][M:F],

 \Rightarrow M(u) is the finite extension of F.

 \Rightarrow u is algebraic over F. Thus *L* is algebraic extn/. of *F*.

Hence the THM//.

Definition: A complex number is said to be an *algebraic number* if it is algebraic over the field of rational numbers. Otherwise called *transcendental*.

Problem:1. What is the degree of $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ over Q?

Solution: Let $x = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ -----(1)

Squaring on both sides,

 $x^{2} = (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^{2}$, i.e., $x^{2} = 5 + 2 \sqrt{2} \sqrt{3}$ i.e., $x^{2} - 5 = 2 \sqrt{6}$ Squaring on both sides, $(x^{2} - 5)^{2} = 24$

i.e.,
$$x^4 - 10x^2 + 1 = 0$$
.

We have a polynomial of degree 4. \Rightarrow The degree of $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ over Q is 4.//

2. What is the degree of $\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3}$ over Q ? Home Work.